

IMPORTANCE OF SYMBOLS IN LINGUISTICS

Sharipova D.Sh.¹, Kutlieva M.G.²

¹Sharipova Dilnoza Shavkatovna - ESP Teacher;

²Kutlieva Mukhayyo Gulomovna - ESP Lecturer,

DEPARTMENT OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES IN NATURAL DIRECTIONS,
BUKHARA STATE UNIVERSITY,
BUKHARA, REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN

Abstract: *communicated in and gesture based communications incorporate a phonological framework that shapes an arrangement of symbols into words or morphemes and a syntactic framework that structures expressions and sentences from a grouping of words and morphemes. Human language is one of a kind in that it is gainful, recursive, and adaptable, and depends totally on friendly concordance and learning. Thus, its mind boggling structure gives a wide scope of articulations and utilizations for creature correspondence. Language is thought to have started when early hominins started to steadily change crude correspondence communication, considering the capacity to shape different hypotheses of awareness and power.*

Keywords: *language, symbols, communication, articulations, morphemes, teaching, learning.*

UDC 808.56

Symbols surround us everywhere. Every object or word that has a meaning can be used as a sign or symbol. Depending on the nature of the value, various types of symbols are distinguished. These can be historical, mythological, ideological and artistic symbols. A feature of a work of art, in particular poetry, as an artistic symbol is that the most important and integral component of its value is the aesthetic quality. Poetic language acts as a symbol of beauty, beauty, harmony, and as a sign that carries information about human actions [2].

The term symbol is a multitude of complex and conflicting interpretations. Among the ancient Greeks, the word "symbol" means any material sign that has a conventional secret meaning for a certain group of persons. The concept of a symbol is multiple-valued and multiple. But over the millennia, the term "symbol" has retained the transparency of its original, original meaning as a "conventional sign". Due to the ambiguous use of the word "symbol", it is difficult to give it a general definition, to establish how it differs from other signs. Let's consider the definitions of various researchers, scientists about the specifics of the artistic symbol of Alice Bailey. As the English writer and researcher asserts, "symbols" are external and visible forms of internal spiritual realities, and we discover this reality with the help of intuition [2].

The idea of nature in language and the course of language improvement are dictated by two different variables - authentic changes in the existence of society and the particular highlights of its construction at a specific phase of language improvement. The relationship of language history to the historical backdrop of society is showed in changes in the inner construction of language (chiefly in dictionary and style), just as in changes in the size of a language (counting the improvement of its useful strategies) and its separation. Changes in phonetics and morphology are not straightforwardly identified with get-togethers. Critical changes in the design of a language rely upon the cycles by which dialects interact. Contrasts in the degree of regional separation of dialects at various phases of the recorded improvement of society are an element that decides the relationship of language history with the historical backdrop of society. At the point when the cycles of financial and political crumbling of society overshadow the cycles of monetary and political unification, the entire language is partitioned into regional lingos. Despite what is generally expected, when the cycles of the monetary and political unification of society beat the cycles of regional discontinuity, alongside provincial separation, it gets conceivable to make a solitary regular language that will be set up as a scholarly language. The development of a public language happens during the arrangement of the comparing country.

A sign is the most straightforward start of a symbol. A symbol is the most perplexing aftereffect of the semantic development of a sign. The sign is described by the outrageous straightforwardness of the useful significant definition, which is communicated in the importance. Undoubtedly, what could be easier than the association between the connoted and the signifier, the current request of implication in a phonetic sign? The chief sign "positivism" of language is a totally target marvel. In an image, in actuality, the primary concern is meaning. The boundless intricacy of the inside semantic definition is the main element of the symbol, restricting its unique emblematic effortlessness. Taking into account that significance is the side of importance, most would agree that in language there is not much and nothing more muddled than significance.

The semantic part of importance ought to be perceived not just as something unique, that is, as a specific semantic meaning of the substance introduced in the word, yet additionally artificially, in a perplexing way. According to the perspective of its semantic characteristics (considered all in all), the significance is uncovered as a picture. Wishing to verbally encourage the further introduction of the issue of the collaboration of formal-sign and representative standards in a language, we will accept that the important premise of a sign in a language is meaning, and the significant premise of an image (word as an image) is a picture. Yet, we will remember that ontologically the first and the second are very much the same. This is a similar importance, which, from one

viewpoint, has a sensible nature (since it uncovers some coherent standards of a significant definition and here is, truth be told, shows up as a significance), and an allegorical expressive nature (where a similar the most semantic definition as of now shows up as the semantic arrangement of importance, which can be thought of and assessed socially, openly, mentally, verifiably, tastefully, and so on in practically any extra linguistic setting, according to the perspective of any outer reason or climate) [5].

Style is, most importantly, the individual nature of a linguistic sign. It communicates the symbolic presence of an individual. The symbolic implies what can't be introduced as essential in being, however which simultaneously uncovers itself as huge: the character, in that capacity, isn't indication of naiveté, yet it is addressed by style. Character is another, representative truth of an etymological sign, where there isn't anything essential according to the perspective of the considerable substance elements of the sign, yet which crowns the whole interaction of the semantic arrangement of a sign as another of its reality. Style all in all is a significant, expressive and utilitarian classification of a phonetic sign and language. Simultaneously, it is uncovered as a mental and as an informative, and as a social wonder. In the event that we add to the over the issue of deciding the measures for the cooperation of the complex standard with the primary linguistic standard, at that point one can envision how huge scope is the assignment of decidedly deciding the idea of style in a language taking all things together the assortment of parts of its reality.

References

1. *Sharipova D. et al.* Bilingualism As A Main Communication Factor For Integration Among Nations In Transoxiana. *Modern Uzbekistan // International Journal on Integrated Education*, 2019. T. 2. № 2. P. 15-23.
2. *Sattarova A.T.* Yazikovie simboli v kirgizskoy poezii // *Filologiya i lingvistika*, 2016. № 2. P. 49-52.
3. *Sharipova D., Ibatova N.* The translation of phraseological units into uzbek // *Theoretical & Applied Science*, 2019. № 10. P. 649-651.
4. *Sharipova D.Sh., Mavlonova U.K., Ibatova N.I.* Behavioral language etiquette in uzbek proverbs and SAYINGS // *Vestnik nauki i obrazovaniya*. 11-3 (89), 2020.
5. *Ivanov N.V.* Problemnie aspekti yazikovogo simbolizma (opit teoreticheskogo rassmotreniya), 2002.
6. *Sharipova D.Sh.* The lexical-semantic properties of the symbol. // *International Journal on Integrated Education*, 2020. T. 3. № 9. P. 177-180.
7. *Azimovna A.Z., Abdulloevna A.A.* Use of modern innovative technologies in teaching foreign language // *Vestnik nauki i obrazovaniya*, 2020. № 10-4 (88).
8. *Shavkatovna S.D., Istamovna I.N., Komiljonovna X.M.* Symbols and Images in Uzbek stories // *Proceeding of The ICECRS*, 2020. T. 5.
9. *Sharipova D., Muhammadiyeva N., Mohigul Q.* The Translation of Grammatical Discrepancies // *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 2020. T. 24. № 1.
10. *Sharipova D.Sh., Mavlonova U.K., Ibatova N.I.* Behavioral language etiquette in uzbek proverbs and sayings// *Vestnik nauki i obrazovaniya*. 11-3 (89), 2020.
11. *Nematova M.F.* Graduonomic synonymies in the languages of uzbek, english and russian lexicography // *Academy*. № 1 (64), 2021.
12. *Azimovna A.Z., Abdulloevna A. A.* The theory of translation and its problems // *Academy*, 2020. № 12 (63).
13. *Khusenova M.U.* The importance of dictionaries and its types // *Academy*. № 1 (64), 2021.
14. *Shavkatovna S.D., Istamovna I.N.* Linguacultural aspects of symbols and characters // *Academy*, 2020. № 9. (60). P. 18-19.